

7/2/23 Second Letter to South Australian Premier and Deputy Premier on the alleged 'climate emergency', Smart Cities and the globalist agenda

Peter Malinauskas, MP
GPO Box 2343 Adelaide SA 5001

Susan Elizabeth Close, MP
GPO Box 11071 Adelaide SA 5001

7 February 2023

Dear Premier and Deputy Premier

As one of many citizens concerned about the impact of and rationale for 'climate crisis' policies, I am writing to you with a request that you carefully re-evaluate your stance on 'climate'.

I prefer to believe that you are acting with good intentions and from a sincere belief that we are in a 'climate emergency'. But science is not based on belief, Peter and Susan; **genuine science is grounded in the objective reality of facts that can be proven - and openly debated.** Policies justified by a 'climate emergency' narrative will severely impact all South Australians in terms of energy availability, reliability and affordability. But there are far more serious ramifications socially, culturally and personally with regard to the basic civil liberties and shared human dignity we have, until recently, enjoyed as free citizens of a democracy.

Firstly, then, could you please provide the scientific facts upon which you have based your declaration of a 'climate emergency', given that there is **no scientific consensus on this?** In particular, please provide references to any scientific studies which prove (a) the detrimental effect of human activity on climate, and (b) that we have an excess CO2 problem, or that CO2 is in any way harmful.

Why are you (apparently) relying selectively on 'evidence' for the existence of a 'climate emergency' and **ignoring the abundance of equally valid evidence to the contrary?** We have been through the same kind of selective bias with the Covid fiasco, i.e. the voices of genuine, concerned experts in the field consistently ignored, vilified, or shouted down in favour of pro-injection 'safe and effective' propaganda that was misleadingly put forward as 'science'. We now know, of course, that these experimental injections are neither safe nor effective.

For the sake of all South Australians, I therefore request the following:

1) that before pursuing 'climate emergency' policies further, you appoint unbiased members of your team to investigate (on your behalf) the wealth of climate science facts and data that can be found on the following websites (as a starting point):

clintel.org

CO2coalition.org

realclimatescience.org

climate.conscious.com.au [featuring Senator Malcolm Roberts]

2) that you arrange to confer at length with Senator Alex Antic (and perhaps also Senators Malcolm Roberts and Gerard Rennick via live video) about the likely harmful repercussions of 'climate emergency' policies, given that the most serious concerns raised here transcend party politics, relate to our shared human and Australian values, and impact all of us.

3) that you make time to consult the wider public at length and invite their feedback before implementing 'climate' policies that will seriously affect most people, especially those already doing it tough, on many levels.

In case you are not already aware of it, there are powerful people who have vested interests in pushing the 'climate crisis' narrative, the most influential of them being 'stakeholder' capitalist members of the World Economic Forum. Senators Alex Antic, Malcolm Roberts and Gerard Rennick regularly bring up serious concerns about this unelected, anti-democratic, globalist organisation in Federal Parliament hearings, given that there exist numerous WEF members, or affiliates among our politicians. As the WEF have openly boasted, they have infiltrated the cabinets of pretty well every nation.

On the grounds of an alleged 'climate crisis', as you may know, many cities worldwide (including Melbourne) have been mapped out for 'Smart City' development. Smart Cities are being aggressively pushed globally by the WEF, who believe they have the right to force all of us to live in a Chinese-style 'social credit system', in which our every transaction is monitored through a Digital ID linked to a Central Bank Digital Currency. Our own Federal Government has recently tried to push through legislation banning cash and phasing in Digital IDs, but their moves have (so far) been successfully blocked by morally courageous politicians (Antic, Rennick, Roberts et al.) who have had the guts and decency to stand up for our democratic freedom.

The stated goal of these WEF 'global tyrants' is that by 2030, we will all 'own nothing', not our homes, our money, our cars - or our freedom. Smart Cities are a crucial next step toward achieving that goal, this time in the name of an alleged 'climate crisis'.

Apparently few people, however, wish to live in a 'Smart City', or '15 Minute City' where their every public move is watched through surveillance cameras, they're forced to keep to their allocated 'zone' and are allowed to travel only 15 minutes from home. Oxford [UK] Council surveyed its residents and, unsurprisingly, 93% of them said 'No' to proposed 15 Minute City plans. But in undemocratic fashion, their Council is forging ahead with the plan anyway. Sadly, this is what it is coming to: Councils and Governments no longer representing and serving us, but rather tap-dancing to a globalist agenda.

Unley has already rolled out Smart City surveillance. Senator Alex Antic discusses worrying aspects of this in his video:

www.facebook.com/SenatorAntic/videos/1041146827286400

Smart Cities will, of course, be promoted as peaceful, safe, clean utopias where our every need will be met as we 'save the planet', but the reality would be a kind of 'open prison', where movement is restricted and disobedience (e.g. exceeding your travel, or 'carbon allowance') is punished.

Please ask yourself, Peter and Susan: is this the kind of 'Hunger Games' scenario you want for your own children? Should you persist in pushing 'climate crisis' policies, your children may end up living in a repressive 15 Minute City, in time - if the WEF achieve their 'Great Reset' goal - bereft of freedom, possessions, rights, privacy, or human dignity. This is no alarmist scare tactic - it is their

publicly stated aim - dressed up, of course, as an altruistic move to 'save the planet' (i.e. from us). Disturbingly, they have already drawn up plans for '5 Minute Cities.'

If solely for your own children's sake, then, please do some serious soul-searching - and some equally serious 're-research' on 'climate' before pursuing 'climate emergency' policies further.

The past few years was (for the WEF) a trial run, when billions worldwide complied with mandates, lockdowns and experimental injections that have since proven to be not only useless for 'prevention and spread' but also harmful. How harmful? Try asking the families of those killed by these injections, or the 140,000 Australian 'vaccine injured' so far reported by GPs to the TGA. Countless lives, relationships, careers and businesses were ruined for the sake of a flu virus that (according to ABS statistics) kills less people than the seasonal flus we've lived with for hundreds of years. Now, in place of a 'health crisis', the WEF have shifted focus to a 'climate crisis' to justify the next round of control and forced compliance.

In the name of all that is humane, wholesome and worth living (and dying) for, my appeal to you is above all else as fellow human beings. Do we want to live in a world where we are treated as (what the WEF regards as) soulless 'hackable animals' (their term), deprived of democratic rights, constantly surveilled, and penned into Orwellian Smart Cities on the grounds of an unproven 'climate crisis'? Or do we want to live as free citizens in a democracy, enjoying all that makes life worthwhile: vibrant community, family values, personal liberty, free speech, human dignity, mutual respect and kindness, access to untouched nature, healthy food and relationships, and the right to celebrate sacred traditions?

As Elon Musk recently wrote, the 'WEF is increasingly becoming an unelected world government that the people never asked for and don't want.' They are perilously close to achieving their objective of total control and it is only 'we, the people' who can put the brakes on this juggernaut. But will you, Peter and Susan, choose to be heroes, or moral cowards in this fight?

Time will tell. But I encourage you not to take too long to decide whose side you are on; are you for us, the people, or for the globalist 'elites' who have one soulless agenda: unlimited power and wealth?

Good work, by the way, on your recently stated aim of 'moving closer to eliminating the use of environmentally harmful single-use plastics.' That's the kind of ethical and urgently needed action most of us are fully on-board with. It confronts a genuine, growing problem that impacts all life - and doesn't impinge on our civil liberties.

Yours sincerely

(Dr) Maureen B. Roberts BSc., BA (Hons), PhD, AMPS Member